

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/813,946  | 03/30/2004                  | Jonathan J. Hull     | 20412-08356         | 5774             |
| 758<br>FENWICK & W  | 7590 04/19/2007<br>VEST LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| SILICON VALLEY CENTER<br>801 CALIFORNIA STREET<br>MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041 |                             |                      | BAUTISTA, XIOMARA L |                  |
|   |                             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                             | 2179                 | 2179                |                  |
|   |                             |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY   | PERIOD OF RESPONSE          | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 31 DA   | AYS                         | 04/19/2007           | PAPER               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  |  | Application No.                             | Applicant(s)        |             |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/813,946                                  | JONATHAN HULL ET AL |             |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner                                    | Art Unit            |             |  |  |  |
|  |  | X. L. Bautista                              | 2179                |             |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |                     | •           |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30   | March 2004.                                 |                     |             |  |  |  |
|  |  | nis action is non-final.                    |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is    |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                          |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application  | on.   |                     |             |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
|  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 6)   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.                     |                     |             |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |   |                     | •           |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre   | ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CF | R 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| - /-   | 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                                 |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | (s)  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da                         | ite                 |             |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:  |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |                     |             |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2179

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
- a. Species I, figs. 1-3 and 6-10, directed towards processing and outputting multimedia data in a variety of formats, as per claims 1-21 and 32-50;
- b. Species II, figs. 4, 5 and 8, directed towards detecting events in multimedia data and performing an action in response to the event, then processing the multimedia data by inserting a multimedia object into the multimedia document, as per claims 22-31.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, Species II has a separate utility such as performing an action when detecting an event in the multimedia data; comparing a profile of the event; and outputting a waveform representing the multimedia data
- 3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.
- 4. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An

Application/Control Number: 10/813,946

Art Unit: 2179

Page 3

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Greg T. Sueoka on 4/13/07 (Examiner was not able to reach him) to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 8. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence

Application/Control Number: 10/813,946

Art Unit: 2179

now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to X. L. Bautista whose telephone number is (571) 272-4132. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2179

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

X. L. Bautista

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2179

xlb April 13, 2007